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Coming from different disciplinary backgrounds – conservation science and musico-
logy respectively – the two organizers Mari-
sa Pamplona-Bartsch (München) and Rebec-
ca Wolf (München) put forward a topic ari-
sing at the intersection of many current dis-
courses in material culture studies, conserva-
tion science, and museum studies: „Material Authenticity of the Ephemeral.“ Acknow-
ledging that this complex question is best ad-
dressed through interdisciplinary collaborati-
on, the conference brought together a breadth of approaches and professional expertise re-
presented by curators, conservators, and re-
searchers. The case studies that they shared of their work only affirmed the topicality and political stakes of interrogating notions of au-
thenticity and the ephemerality of material objects.

These concerns pertain in particular to practices across museums, as chair of re-
search at the Deutsches Museum HELMUTH TRISCHLER (München) intimated in his opening remarks. But the conference made clear that they also extend well beyond these institutional boundaries. Indeed, as STEFAN SIMON (New Haven, CT) emphasized from the perspective of cultural heritage research, the claim to authenticity can establish or con-
firm the identity of diverse groups and attach historical, social, aesthetic, and monetary va-
ue to cultural monuments. Simon’s examples included such current issues of debate as the confederate monuments in the United States, the Dakota Access Pipeline at Standing Rock, and war destruction in Syria.

Also ACHIM SAUPE (Potsdam) highligh-
ted the ethical and political responsibilities that processes of authentication entail. As the head of the Leibniz Research Alliance „Historical Authenticity“ (founded in 2013), Saupe offered a helpful overview over the chal-
lenges posed by the „fictitious“ concept of au-
thenticity and the research questions it raises. Of the six distinct concerns that he listed, all were addressed over the course of the confer-
ence, such as the authority and power asso-
ciated with authentication practices, the dis-
tinction between subject-related and object-
related forms of authenticity, or the possibili-
ties and demands with regards to authentica-
tion and preservation methods that new me-
dia introduce.

The concern with the construction of au-
thenticity was addressed in particular by JO-
HANNES MÜSKE (Zurich). He proposed that the creation of tangible records in ethnogra-
phic research „precedes the construction of heritage.“ Indeed, these material documents are commonly understood to authenticate fleeting cultural phenomena, not least by the use of „authentic“ technologies – as the pho-
nograph and photography were conceived in the early twentieth century. Müske enlivened his argument by drawing on the example of the Dür Collection of „Swiss Music,“ a sound archive of the Swiss radio, which was used to represent a Swiss cultural identity to interna-
tional broadcasting audiences. Encompassing a variety of musical styles – including utility music – the collection inscribed, valorized, and commodified a distinct musical heritage.

The three days of the conference were ar-
ranged around the broad topics of „Con-
servation Science,“ „Theory and Measure-
ment,“ and „Performance and Communicati-
on.“ While thus facilitating lively discussions at the end of each day, many cross-references and themes crystallized across the entire du-
ration of the conference.

Artist Nam June Paik, for instance, made repeated appearances; first in HANNA B.
HÖLLING’s (London) talk on the preserva-
tion and reconstruction of a video art in-
stallation in a case of unintentional destruc-
tion, and then in the contribution of KAT-
JA MÜLLER-HELLE (Berlin) on Fluxus art works of the 1960s that centered around the willful, planned destruction of musical instru-
ments. Building on the scholarship of Mo-
nika Wagner, Müller-Helle raised intriguing questions about the relationship between de-
struction and construction in such art works, and between material and form as defining features (and targets of preservation efforts)
in art works more generally. Moreover, the performance component of Fluxus art inspired a discussion following the talk about the historical specificity of notions of authenticity, as a value that had to be reevaluated across reception histories of art, and that might provoke different perceptions whether examined from inside or outside such traditions. While opposing notions of authenticity in general cultural-anthropological terms, LARS-CHRISTIAN KOCH (Berlin) inadvertently addressed some of the same issues in his talk on processes of remodeling in the manufacturing of North Indian musical instruments.

The difficulty of cataloguing music and sound, let alone of displaying it in a museum context was cogently illustrated by SILKE BERDUX (Munich), curator for musical instruments at the Deutsches Museum. Berdux guided the conference participants through the museum’s complete Nachlass of pioneer of electronic music Oskar Sala. She recounted how Sala himself had struggled with keeping track of his tape recordings and shared her visions for making accessible Sala’s studio, oeuvre, and working process in a museum exhibit. Further guided tours of the carefully planned conference included a visit to the exhibit on „Maritime Navigation“ and to the conversation laboratories of the museum.

A common theme that arose from multiple talks was a call to differentiate between functional and material authenticity. This differentiation acknowledges the necessity of selecting between these two options in cases of conservation science. The presentation of JÖRN BOHLMANN (Munich), curator for maritime exhibitions at the Deutsches Museum, was particularly enlightening in this regard. Through the example of restoration projects of historical wooden boats, Bohlmann discussed the chain of decision-making involved, which had to be oriented by a specific desired purpose. He explained that the materials, utensils, and techniques used depend vastly on the aspired demand on the vessel – whether the purpose was to present it within a museum exhibition, or to make it usable at sea. These decisions necessarily prioritize certain qualities of the artifact while obscuring – if not destroying – others. Apart from using historically accurate utensils and materials, Bohlmann furthermore pointed to the importance of acquiring historical craftsmanship skills.

Similar issues were raised in the talk of CHARLOTTE HOLZER (Munich), who shared insights from her work in the research and conservation efforts of a dress made of glass fiber, once in the possession of Infantia Eulalia. Holzer’s examinations uncovered multiple material and historical layers in this rare object, not all of which, however, can be equally accounted for in the conservation and exhibition of the dress. Presenting the case of another wearable artifact from the turn of the twentieth century, ELENA GÓMEZ-SÁNCHEZ (Bochum) discussed how she could reconstruct historical manufacturing techniques and their technological context through diverse material examinations of a diving suit made of caoutchouc.

The awareness of inevitable negotiations involved in conservation science counterbalanced the unsurprising call for standards of conduct for preservation and documentation. The challenges around the establishment of such unified standards and their enforcement within contexts that are driven by commercial interests, were stressed in particular by NADJA WALLASZKOVITS (Vienna), speaking about the restoration and digital archiving of sound recordings. Wallaszkovits defined the agenda of sound archiving as clearly oriented towards the preservation of the stored information, if necessary at the expense of the material object. PATRICIA FALCÃO (London) suggested a similar hierarchy between material and function in much more recent art works. As conservator of software-based art installations, Falcão outlined her interaction with the artists and programmers to discuss what changes to the art works they consider acceptable. This collaboration and the attendant arrangements made to secure preservation speak to the inherent ephemerality of time-based media art works.

In response to the challenges introduced by digital media with regards to their preservation, FABIAN OFFERT (Santa Barbara, CA) proposed as a concrete approach to harness Field Programmable Gate Arrays (FPGAs) to „clone“ digital artifacts. Promising „circuit-
level authenticity,” this strategy – which involves detailed archeological research as the basis for complex programming operations – has yet to be comprehensively explored by museums, though Offert could already present two cases of his own curatorial experience.

In a “thought experiment,” FRANK BÄR (Nuremberg) inquired general concerns with regard to reproductions and visual representations of artifacts, by conjuring up Walther Benjamin’s famous ascription of “aura” to the original. Examining from this perspective different technologies for the documentation, modeling, and preservation of human-made artifacts, Bär’s talk paired nicely with the contribution of PETER BARTSCH (Berlin), who shared insights from the particular challenges encountered in natural history collections. A general observation, which resonated with comments made by other conference participants, pertained to the experience that archival collections – particularly of natural objects – often elicit entirely unforeseeable use-value.

“Material Authenticity of the Ephemeral” addressed concerns that pertain across all practices in museums, archives, and collections, from acquisition, conservation, and research, to exhibition and communication. Shedding light on how discourses on authenticity can create and affirm power relations and re-inscribe social hierarchies in these institutional repositories of our heritage, the discussions of the conference challenge us to reflect on how we engage with natural and cultural artifacts—which quickly prove equally ephemeral.
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